То	:		:	PCT					
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)					
								Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220	
International application No. International filing da PCT/EP2004/005103 12.05.2004				lay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 16.05.2003				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC F01N3/08, B01D53/94									
	icant ICORE AG & CO	D. KG							
1.	This opinion co	ontains indication	ons relating to the follo	owing items:					
	Box No. I	Basis of the op	inion		•				
	Box No. II	Priority							
	☐ Box No. III			rd to novelty, inventi	ve step and industrial applicability				
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of							
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement									
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docume	ents cited						
	☐ Box No. VII		in the international appl						
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application									
2.	FURTHER ACTI	ÓN							
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply who the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.									
	submit to the IPE	IPEA, the applicant is invited to ents, before the expiration of three of 22 months from the priority date,							
	For further option	ns, see Form PC	T/ISA/220.		•				
3.	For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.								

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016 Authorized Officer

Faria, C

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3541



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/005103

_		_						
_	Box No. I Basis of the opinion	_						
1.	/ith regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in le language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.							
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	ļ						
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:							
a. type of material:								
	□ a sequence listing							
	□ table(s) related to the sequence listing							
	b. format of material:							
•	☐ in written format							
	☐ in computer readable form							
	time of filing/furnishing:	•						
	☐ contained in the international application as filed.							
	☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.							
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.							
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.							
1	Additional comments:							

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/005103

_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
_	Box No. II	Priority								
1. ☑ The following document has not been furnished:										
☑ copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a										
\Box translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 ar								s.1 and 66.7(b)).		
	Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.									
2.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.									
3. Additional observations, if necessary:										
	٠									
	Box No. V industrial	Reasoned stater applicability; citation	nent und ons and e	er Rule 4 explanation	3 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) w ons supporti	vith regard to r	novelty, inve	ntive step or		
1.	Statement									
	Novelty (N)	1	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1,6,8					
	Inventive st	tep (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	2-5,7					
	Industrial a	pplicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-8	÷				

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Enm DCT/DEA/227 / January 2004)

10/556643

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/005103

JC06 Rec'd PCT/PTO 10 NOV 2005

Re Item V.

1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 2000, no. 26, 1 July 2002 (2002-07-01) &; JP 2001 241321 A (NISSAN MOTOR CO LTD), 7 September 2001 (2001-09-07)

2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. Document D1 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document): An exhaust as purification system for the exhaust gases of an internalcombustion engine comprising ab oxidation catalyst (4) and a downstream particulate filter (50) where an hydrocarbon adsorber (51) is arranged between the oxidation catalyst and the particulate filter.

3 INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 6 AND 8

- 3.1 The same reasoning applies *mutatis mutandis* to the subject matter of the corresponding independent claims 6 and 8 therefore, subject-matter of claims 6 and 8, is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 4 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-5, 7
 The combination of the features of dependent claims 2-5, 7 are neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art.